Enter Web Address: http://

All

Take Me Back

Adv. Search: Compare Archive P.

Searched for http://www.connectyou.com/sio/

3 Results

* denotes when site was updated.

Search Results for Jan 01, 1996 - Jan 20, 2004

1996

1998 1997

1999

2000

2001

2002

2003

2004

0 pages 0 pages _


2 pages 1 pages 0 pages 0 pages 0 pages

Aug 16, 2000 *

Home | Help

Copyright © 2001, Internet Archive | Terms of Use | Privacy Policy

Attached references have been extraited from archieved web site listed above.



Top of Page

© 2004 EBSCO Publishing. Privacy Policy - Terms of Use





■ 3 of 5 Result List | Refine Search

Print E-mail Save MAdd to folder

Folder is empty.

Formats: Citation HTML Full Text

Title: Online sureties.

Source: Business Insurance; 08/07/2000, Vol. 34 Issue 32, p12G, 1/9p

Document Type: Article

Subject(s): INSURANCE, Surety & fidelity

Geographic Term(s): SAN Francisco (Calif.)

CALIFORNIA

Company/Entity: ESURETY.COM (Company)

Abstract: Reports that San Francisco, California-based Internet company eSurety.com has launched a surety

market-related Web site for insurance agents and brokers as of August 7, 2000. Background on the

company's efforts to serve insurance agents and their clients.

Full Text Word Count: 159

ISSN: 0007-6864

Accession Number: 3571783

Persistent link to this record:

http://search.epnet.com/direct.asp?an=3571783&db=bch

Database: Business Source Corporate

Section: A/BT Briefs

ONLINE SURETIES

SAN FRANCISCO - Agents and brokers can now access the surety marketplace via a new Web site launched by San Franciscobased eSurety.com. By visiting the site, www.esurety.com, agents and brokers can procure surety bonds and receive commissions on their sales.

In addition, agency customers can access eSurety.com directly by providing an agent's "referral code" or using a link on an agency's Web site. This allows agents to better service both the occasional bond requirement or the large account, while still receiving commissions and full reporting on all transactions, according to the company.

In a statement, eSurety President Ted Hertz said that the company's goal is "to enable insurance agents and surety insurers to sell products over the Internet. We do not compete with agents; we give them tools to be more competitive," he said.

eSurety.com is currently registering agents in California and will begin a national rollout soon.

Source: Business Insurance, 08/07/2000, Vol. 34 Issue 32, p12G, 1p

Item: 3571783

h

Copyright of Business Insurance is the property of Crain Communications Inc. (MI) and its content may not be copied or e-mailed to multiple sites or posted to a listsery without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or e-mail articles for individual use.

Top of Page

Formats: Citation HTML Full Text


4 3 of 5 D Result List | Refine Search Print E-mail Save Add to folder

Folder is empty.

© 2004 EBSCO Publishing. Privacy Policy - Terms of Use

\mathbb{C}^p	# 2%	13 11	80	**
(W. J.	# W	W W		8

Help



	Ba:	
~		aren







Publication Search

Marked List: 0 articles English

Interface language:

<u>Databases selected:</u> Multiple databases...

Results

• 46 articles found for: (online) AND (surety) AND (bonds) AND PDN(<10/23/2000)

All s	source	es Magazines Trade Publication	ns Newspapers		
	<u>Mar</u>	k / <u>Clear</u> all on <u>View marked</u> page <u>articles</u>	Full text articles Sor	rt results by: Most recent articles first]
	1.	Streamlining bond handling for Len Strazewski. Rough Notes. In	agencies dianapolis: Oct 2000. Vol.	143, lss. 10; p. 62 (3 pages)	
		Text+Graphics	🔁 <u>Page Image - PDF</u>	Abstract	
	2.	Filipino workers with money cla Norma Luna Bosano. Middle Eas		Sep 20, 2000. p. N.A	
		Full text		[™] Abstract	
	3.	<u>Technology update</u> Anonymous. American Agent & I	Broker. St. Louis: Sep 200	0. Vol. 72, Iss. 9; p. 87 (1 page)	
		Full text	🔁 <u>Page Image - PDF</u>		
	4.	A sure thing? Barbara Bowers. Best's Review.	Oldwick: Sep 2000. Vol. 10	01, Iss. 5; p. 63 (8 pages)	
		Text+Graphics	🔁 <u>Page Image - PDF</u>	Abstract Abstract	
	5.	Travelers Delivers e-Learning S Offer Online System For Insurar Business Editors. Business Wire	nce CE	p. 1	
		Full text		Abstract	
	6.	Redladder.com and InsuranceN to Meet Contractors' Needs PR Newswire. New York: Aug 8, 2		er an Online Insurance Solution Tailored	<u> </u>
		Full text		Abstract	
	7.	eSurety.com Automates Underw Website to Serve Insurance Age Business Editors & High Tech Writ	ents; [1]	rety Bonds; eSurety Launches New York: Jul 18, 2000. p. 1	_
		Full text		<u>Abstract</u>	
	8.	Bringing transactions online Dan Bolita. Transform Magazine.	. San Francisco: Jul 2000.	Vol. 9, Iss. 7; p. III (3 pages)	_
		Text+Graphics	Page Image - PDF	□ Citation	

Web used to streamline surety bond sales
 Robert W Mitchell. National Underwrit r (Property & casualty/risk & b nefits management ed.).

Erlange	r: May 29, 2000. Vol. 104, lss.	22; p. 6 (2 pages)	
	Full text	🔁 <u>Page Image -</u>	<u>PDF</u> ■	Abstract
10. E-Suret PR New	y.net Unv ils Surety Bond Syswire. New York: May 10, 20	<u>ite</u> 00. p. 1		
	Full text		23.	Abstract
1-10 of 46			< First <	Previous 1 2 3 4 5 Next≥
				Results per page: 10
Advanced S	earch (To	ools: Search Tip:	Browse Topics	5 Recent Searches
online		Ci	tation and article	text •
AND 👻	surety	Ci	tation and article	text •
AND 💌	bonds	Ci	tation and article	text 💌
	Add a row Remove a row	Se	arch Clear	
Database:	Multiple databases		Select mu	<u>Itiple databases</u>
Date range:	Before this date	mm/dd/yy	/yy About	
Limit results to:	Full text articles only			
	Scholarly journals, including	g peer-reviewed	About	
More Search Or	otions.			

Copyright © 2004 ProQuest Information and Learning Company. All rights reserved. <u>Terms and Conditions</u>

<u>Text-only interface</u>

From:ProQuest

```
show files '
File 15:ABI/Inform(R) 1971-2004/Jan 17
         (c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning
File
       9:Business & Industry(R) Jul/1994-2004/Jan 16
         (c) 2004 Resp. DB Svcs.
File 810: Business Wire 1986-1999/Feb 28
         (c) 1999 Business Wire
File 275: Gale Group Computer DB(TM) 1983-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
File 476:Financial Times Fulltext 1982-2004/Jan 20
         (c) 2004 Financial Times Ltd
File 610: Business Wire 1999-2004/Jan 20
         (c) 2004 Business Wire.
File 624:McGraw-Hill Publications 1985-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 McGraw-Hill Co. Inc
File 636:Gale Group Newsletter DB(TM) 1987-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
File 621:Gale Group New Prod.Annou.(R) 1985-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
File 613:PR Newswire 1999-2004/Jan 20
         (c) 2004 PR Newswire Association Inc
File 813:PR Newswire 1987-1999/Apr 30
         (c) 1999 PR Newswire Association Inc
     16:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1990-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
File 160:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1972-1989
         (c) 1999 The Gale Group
File 634:San Jose Mercury Jun 1985-2004/Jan 18
         (c) 2004 San Jose Mercury News
File 148:Gale Group Trade & Industry DB 1976-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
File
     20:Dialog Global Reporter 1997-2004/Jan 20
         (c) 2004 The Dialog Corp.
      35:Dissertation Abs Online 1861-2004/Dec
         (c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning
File 583: Gale Group Globalbase (TM) 1986-2002/Dec 13
         (c) 2002 The Gale Group
File
     65: Inside Conferences 1993-2004/Jan W3
         (c) 2004 BLDSC all rts. reserv.
       2: INSPEC 1969-2004/Jan W2
File
         (c) 2004 Institution of Electrical Engineers
File 233:Internet & Personal Comp. Abs. 1981-2003/Sep
         (c) 2003 EBSCO Pub.
File 474:New York Times Abs 1969-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 The New York Times
File 475: Wall Street Journal Abs 1973-2004/Jan 19
         (c) 2004 The New York Times
File
     99:Wilson Appl. Sci & Tech Abs 1983-2003/Nov
         (c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co.
File 348: EUROPEAN PATENTS 1978-2004/Jan W03
         (c) 2004 European Patent Office
File 349:PCT FULLTEXT 1979-2002/UB=20040115,UT=20040108
         (c) 2004 WIPO/Univentio
File 347: JAPIO Oct 1976-2003/Sep (Updated 040105)
         (c) 2004 JPO & JAPIO
```

?

Page 1<01/14

/04>Page 1

Set	Items	Description	
S1	7556	SURETY (1W) BOND	
S2	3953	S1 AND PY<2000	
S3	889	(INTERNET OR NETWORK OR WEB) (10N) (SURE	TY)
S4	30	S3 AND S2	
S5	14	RD S4 (unique items)	

```
SYSTEM:OS - DIALOG OneSearch
  File 15:ABI/Inform(R) 1971-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning
*File 15: Alert feature enhanced for multiple files, duplicate
removal, customized scheduling. See HELP ALERT.
         9:Business & Industry(R) Jul/1994-2004/Jan 13
         (c) 2004 Resp. DB Svcs.
  File 810: Business Wire 1986-1999/Feb 28
         (c) 1999 Business Wire
  File 275: Gale Group Computer DB(TM) 1983-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
  File 476: Financial Times Fulltext 1982-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 Financial Times Ltd
  File 610: Business Wire 1999-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 Business Wire.
*File 610: File 610 now contains data from 3/99 forward.
Archive data (1986-2/99) is available in File 810.
  File 624:McGraw-Hill Publications 1985-2004/Jan 13
         (c) 2004 McGraw-Hill Co. Inc
*File 624: Homeland Security & Defense and 9 Platt energy journals added
Please see HELP NEWS624 for more
  File 636: Gale Group Newsletter DB(TM) 1987-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
  File 621: Gale Group New Prod. Annou. (R) 1985-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
  File 613:PR Newswire 1999-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 PR Newswire Association Inc
*File 613: File 613 now contains data from 5/99 forward.
Archive data (1987-4/99) is available in File 813.
  File 813:PR Newswire 1987-1999/Apr 30
         (c) 1999 PR Newswire Association Inc
  File 16:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1990-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 The Gale Group
*File 16: Alert feature enhanced for multiple files, duplicate
removal, customized scheduling. See HELP ALERT.
  File 160:Gale Group PROMT(R) 1972-1989
         (c) 1999 The Gale Group
  File 634:San Jose Mercury Jun 1985-2004/Jan 11
         (c) 2004 San Jose Mercury News
  File 148:Gale Group Trade & Industry DB 1976-2004/Jan 14
         (c)2004 The Gale Group
*File 148: Alert feature enhanced for multiple files, duplicate
removal, customized scheduling. See HELP ALERT.
  File 20:Dialog Global Reporter 1997-2004/Jan 14
         (c) 2004 The Dialog Corp.
  File 35:Dissertation Abs Online 1861-2004/Dec
         (c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning
  File 583:Gale Group Globalbase(TM) 1986-2002/Dec 13
         (c) 2002 The Gale Group
*File 583: This file is no longer updating as of 12-13-2002.
  File 65:Inside Conferences 1993-2004/Jan W2
         (c) 2004 BLDSC all rts. reserv.
        2:INSPEC 1969-2004/Jan W1
  File
         (c) 2004 Institution of Electrical Engineers
       2: Alert feature enhanced for multiple files, duplicates
removal, customized scheduling. See HELP ALERT.
```

```
File 233:Internet & Personal Comp. Abs. 1981-2003/Sep
         (c) 2003 EBSCO Pub.
  File 474: New York Times Abs 1969-2004/Jan 13
         (c) 2004 The New York Times
  File 475: Wall Street Journal Abs 1973-2004/Jan 13
         (c) 2004 The New York Times
  File 99: Wilson Appl. Sci & Tech Abs 1983-2003/Nov
         (c) 2003 The HW Wilson Co.
  File 348: EUROPEAN PATENTS 1978-2004/Jan W02
         (c) 2004 European Patent Office
  File 349:PCT FULLTEXT 1979-2002/UB=20031225,UT=20031218
         (c) 2003 WIPO/Univentio
  File 347: JAPIO Oct 1976-2003/Sep (Updated 040105)
         (c) 2004 JPO & JAPIO
*File 347: JAPIO data problems with year 2000 records are now fixed.
Alerts have been run. See HELP NEWS 347 for details.
      Set Items Description
      ___ _____
? s network (s) (surety) (s) performance (s) (conractor)
<---->
? s network (s) (surety) (s) performance (s) (contractor or vendor) (s) (bond)
Processed 10 of 27 files ...
Processing
Completed processing all files
        7921213 NETWORK
          58828 SURETY
        8105665 PERFORMANCE
         492389 CONTRACTOR
         1052582 VENDOR
         1554750 BOND
              0 NETWORK (S) (SURETY) (S) PERFORMANCE (S) (CONTRACTOR OR
      S1
                 VENDOR) (S) (BOND)
? ds
Set
       Items
               Description
               NETWORK (S) (SURETY) (S) PERFORMANCE (S) (CONTRACTOR OR VE-
S1
            NDOR) (S) (BOND)
     (surety) (s) performance (s) (contractor or vendor) (s) (bond)
        58828 SURETY
8105665 PERFORMANCE
         492389 CONTRACTOR
        1052582 VENDOR
         1554750 BOND
      S2
            152 (SURETY) (S) PERFORMANCE (S) (CONTRACTOR OR VENDOR) (S)
                  (BOND)
? rd s2
>>>Duplicate detection is not supported for File 348.
>>>Duplicate detection is not supported for File 349.
>>>Duplicate detection is not supported for File 347.
>>>Records from unsupported files will be retained in the RD set.
>>>Record 15:2650161 ignored; incomplete bibliographic data, not retained -
in RD set
...examined 50 records
                        (50)
...examined 50 records
                       (100)
```

```
...examined 50 records (150)
...completed examining records
            122 RD S2 (unique items)
? s s3 and (type or types) (4n) (bond)
Processing
Processed 10 of 27 files ...
Processing
Completed processing all files
             122 S3
        25149378 TYPE
         2470004 TYPES
1554750 BOND
           10955 (TYPE OR TYPES) (4N) BOND
7 S3 AND (TYPE OR TYPES) (4N) (BOND)
      S4
? s s4 and py<2001
Processing
Processed 10 of 27 files ...
Processing
Processing
Processing
Processed 20 of 27 files ...
Processing
Completed processing all files
               7 S4
        74073973 PY<2001
              7 S4 AND PY<2001
? t s5/7, k/1-7
5/7, K/1
            (Item 1 from file: 15)
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)
(c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.
01906478 05-57470
                  **USE FORMAT 9 FOR FULL TEXT**
Bonding procedures for North American and international construction
contracts
```

ABSTRACT: Surety bonding has become essential in today's construction market. The industry is complex, and contractors face high expectations for contract performance. The global construction market and the chance to learn from others justify discussing general bonding procedures and comparing North American and international construction bonding. The main difference is in the type of bonding; the North American construction industry uses an obligatory type rather than the forfeiture type commonly used internationally. Further, there are noticeable differences in bond amounts due to the type of bonding. The procedures used by North American sureties in issuing bonds are more rigid as the surety assumes the entire responsibility of the contract completion whereas bonds are considered an extension of the contractor's line of credit in international contracts. The common law implications, surety requirements, premiums, and other concerns are detailed.

```
Ruwanpura, Janaka Y; Ariaratnam, Samuel T; Peters, Barry K
Engineering Management Journal: EMJ v11n2 PP: 28-34 Jun 1999 ISSN:
1042-9247 JRNL CODE: EGM
DOC TYPE: Journal article LANGUAGE: English LENGTH: 7 Pages
WORD COUNT: 6131
```

ABSTRACT: Surety bonding has become essential in today's construction market. The industry is complex, and contractors face high expectations for contract performance. The global construction market and the chance to learn from others justify discussing general bonding...

... type rather than the forfeiture type commonly used internationally. Further, there are noticeable differences in **bond** amounts due to the **type** of bonding. The procedures used by North American sureties in issuing bonds are more rigid as the **surety** assumes the entire responsibility of the contract completion whereas bonds are considered an extension of the **contractor** 's line of credit in international contracts. The common law implications, **surety** requirements, premiums, and other concerns are detailed. ...
TEXT: Headnote:

Abstract

Headnote:

Surety bonding has become essential in today's construction market. The industry is complex, and contractors face high expectations for contract **performance**. The global construction market and the chance to learn from others justify discussing general bonding...

... type rather than the forfeiture type commonly used internationally. Further, there are noticeable differences in **bond** amounts due to the **type** of bonding. The procedures used by North American sureties in issuing bonds are more rigid as the **surety** assumes the entire responsibility of the contract completion whereas bonds are considered an extension of the **contractor** 's line of credit in international contracts. The common law implications, **surety** requirements, premiums, and other concerns are detailed.

Introduction

The construction surety bond has become essential...

... conflict between the owner and the contractor might lead to an unjustifiable call on this **type** of **bond**. As a result, contractors usually pay high premiums to secure this type of bonds.

On...

...a contract.

Contractors performing work abroad have often found that foreign owners will not accept **surety** bonds, in part due to their unfamiliarity. A bank's guarantee of the **contractor**'s **performance** is more desirable. Unfortunately, most banks are not willing to accept responsibility for job completion...

...solution for international contracts is the letter of credit (LOC) which is an "on-demand" type of bond. However, the LOC permits an owner, who judges the contractor's performance as below expectations, to demand the bond amount from the bank. Since banks, unlike surety companies, are typically unfamiliar with the contractors' operations, it is very unlikely that they are qualified to

assess the project risks. Other limitations for banks or **surety** companies for contractors working in foreign countries include legal lending limits, unfamiliar construction conditions, different government regulations, and owner's **performance** expectations.

The main difference between the LOC and surety bonds is that the surety company...of uncompleted work to be done.

The refusal of the insurance company to issue a bond in international contracts is very similar to a **surety** company in North America declining to provide bonds. In North America, qualifying for a **bond** is more like obtaining bank credit than purchasing insurance. Like the bank, a surety company wants to know the contractor's situation before committing its assets (SAA & NASBP, 1992). Thus most contractors find that they must spend a lot of time and effort establishing first relationship with a **surety** company. Since the surety is guaranteeing the company's performance, it needs to gather and carefully analyze much information about the firm before it will agree to provide bonds, and it is the usual practice for the surety company to continue monitoring the contractor's progress.

Bond Types

Two common types of bonds are the bid bond and the performance bond. In North America there are...

... will provide the specified final contract bonds regardless of the circumstances (Peters, 1991).

The bid **bond** amount in international contracts varies depending the project's scope. Generally, the bid **bond** amount is not less than 1% of the bid price. Hence, the bid **bond** amount is much less than that of similar North American contracts. Due to this small...

... risk. When a bidder fails to accept the bid price or fails to furnish the performance bond, the total bid bond is forfeited, however, the bid bond may be insufficient for the owner to recover incurred losses. Performance bond. The performance bond protects the owner from financial losses if the contractor fails to perform in accord with contractual terms and conditions. The performance bond is issued only after a bid proposal has been accepted. In U.S. construction contracts, the amount of performance bond varies with the type of owner. The "Miller Act" enacted in 1935 requires **performance** . bonds for all federal construction projects over US\$25K. All surety companies who can provide bonds are listed in the federal treasury registry. The amount of performance bond is 100% for federal contracts. Fifty states have passed companion legislation dubbed the "Little Miller Act" to protect state funds on construction projects. The amount of **performance bond** required for state projects is also 100% of the contract price. If additions are made to the contract, the Acts require that the bond be increased accordingly. There are numerous performance bonds published by associations, bonding companies, government agencies, and private owners. However, the surety prior to extending the performance bond investigates any contract additions, and may refuse to extend the performance bond.

The performance bond criterion in Canadian contracts is similar

to those in the U.S. with the exception of the face value. CCDC specifies all the requirements and obligations of **performance** bonds which are 50% of the contract price for both public and private contracts. There are exceptional cases where the owner may require a 100% **performance** bond. CCDC states that the time limit to file a claim under a **performance** bond is two years from the date on which final payment under the contract becomes due...

... standard one year warranty period. In Canada, formerly there was a condition printed on the **bond** form that stated any increase over 10% of the contract amount would be considered as...

... the right to decide what constitutes a material change of risk. For example, consider a contractor for a 5-storey apartment building at a cost of \$5M. During construction, the owner decides to add two additional stories for an extra \$2M and then the contractor defaults. The surety would likely use the material change of risk as a defense, however, if the contractor had previously built a similar apartment building in the range of \$7M with bonding from the surety, the courts might rule against granting a material change. As a result, the owner would still only have a bond penalty as originally stated. However, if the \$5M apartment building became a \$5M hospital building...

5/7,K/2 (Item 2 from file: 15)
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)
(c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01878922 05-29914

USE FORMAT 9 FOR FULL TEXT
Annual survey of fidelity and surety law, 1998--part II

ABSTRACT: A roundup of recent cases covering public and private construction bonds, fidelity and financial institution bonds, and sureties' remedies is presented. in Taylor Construction Inc. v. ABT Service Corp. (1998), the 9th Circuit held that the subcontractor was entitled to collect from the surety amounts due under the subcontract's savings clause. In Am-Haul Carting (1998), a federal district court held that the general contractor's declaration of a subcontractor's default was not prohibited by a stay entered in the subcontractor's bankruptcy proceeding. In Trestle & Tower Engineering Inc. v. Star Insurance Co. (1998), a federal district court held that a claimant was not barred by failure to qualify as a foreign corporation, and its notices were adequate and timely.

Linder, Charles W Jr

Defense Counsel Journal v66n3 PP: 391-410 Jul 1999 ISSN: 0895-0016

JRNL CODE: ISC

DOC TYPE: Journal article LANGUAGE: English LENGTH: 20 Pages

WORD COUNT: 11342

...TEXT: an insured to provide proof of loss within the time limit provided by a fidelity **bond** of the **type** involved here justify denial of coverage under the bond without the insurer showing that it...of contract retainage from surety.

In In re John's Insulation Inc.,38 the general contractor on a New York City public construction contract defaulted, and the work was completed by the surety on the performance bond. After

the **contractor** filed a Chapter 11 bankruptcy petition. it brought suit against the **surety**, claiming entitlement to the remaining progress payments due under the completed contract.

The bankruptcy court...

5/7,K/3 (Item 3 from file: 15)
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)
(c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01530013 01-81001

USE FORMAT 9 FOR FULL TEXT

Liability in surety bond claims for extracontractual allegations

ABSTRACT: The issue of extracontractual liability against sureties remains unsettled. Some recent cases impose liability, while other recent cases reject it. It appears that the practitioner should treat a bond claim as one with the potential for extracontractual liability in the same manner the practitioner would treat a claim under an insurance policy. Yet, that same practitioner should be ready to argue before the court that suretyship is different from insurance, and that different rules should apply.

Dykes, Osborne J; Pate, Stephen
Federation of Insurance & Corporate Counsel Quarterly v47n4 PP: 361-384
Summer 1997 ISSN: 0887-0942 JRNL CODE: FIC
DOC TYPE: Journal article LANGUAGE: English LENGTH: 24 Pages
WORD COUNT: 10161

...TEXT: principles.

The importance of the tripartite relationship cannot be ignored. As between the principal and **surety**, the principal is primarily liable for the underlying obligation while the **surety** is secondarily liable. To illustrate, a school district (the obligee) may contract with Ace Construction...

... the contract, and perhaps by state statute, Ace Construction must provide the district with a performance bond. Ace, the bond obligor, engages Surety Company, the surety, and a performance bond is issued by the surety in favor of the district, the bond obligee. If the contractor fails to complete the project, the performance bond enables the bond obligee to make a claim on the contractor's bond, with appropriate notice. After payment to the obligee, the surety may seek indemnification from the principal. It is clear that either the principal or the bond obligee may make a claim against the surety under the surety contract if the surety fails to reasonably perform its contractual duties. What is less clear is whether the surety may be liable in tort to any of these parties as a result of the...31 However, the court held that a surety is not liable in tort to a bond obligee on any type of bond where there has been no breach of the underlying contractual obligation by the principal. The...issues that are peculiar to the suretyship context.

A. Delay Damages

The area of a surety's liability for delay damages suffered by an

obligee or a payment **bond** claimant is important because of the propensity for delay in construction contracts. Traditionally, sureties were not liable for delay damages above the amount of the **bond** because to allow such recovery would make it futile to limit the liability of the...

... Assurance Co. v. Larkin General Hospital, Ltd.,74 the Florida Supreme Court found that a **performance bond surety** was not liable to the obligee for delay damages arising out of the principal's failure to perform because the **bond** did not specifically cover delay damages. In other words, damages were limited by the contract...

... Iron Works, Inc. v. Fletcher & Sons, Inc.,7 a Pennsylvania court held that the general contractor's payment bond surety was not liable for a subcontractor's costs in storing materials and trailer rentals because such costs were delay damages and not covered by the bond itself or the statute that mandated the bond.

More recent cases have challenged the traditional rule, however. In Republic Insurance Co. v. Prince...

5/7, K/4 (Item 4 from file: 15)
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)
(c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

01157651 98-07046

USE FORMAT 9 FOR FULL TEXT

Surety bonds and guarantees

ABSTRACT: The advantage of arranging a bond from an insurance company rather than a bank is the fact that a bank will set off the amount of the bond against the overall credit facilities that it provides, thus potentially tying up capital that could more usefully be used for something else. The insurance market for bonds is small. Neither are there a great number of brokers who specialize in this business, but those that do will tend to deal with either the more traditional areas of Contract Guarantee, Duty Deferment and Customs related Bonds, or with the travel sector or with Insolvency Bonds. This focus means that a great deal of expertise is built up by both the brokers and the insurers in the particular segment with which they are involved, leading to an entrepreneurial approach that can react fairly quickly to the many developments that have arisen in the bonding markets over recent years.

Salisbury, Nigel
Insurance Brokers Monthly & Insurance Adviser v46nl PP: 22-23+ Jan 1996
ISSN: 0260-2385 JRNL CODE: IBA
DOC TYPE: Journal article LANGUAGE: English LENGTH: 3 Pages
WORD COUNT: 1969

...TEXT: it has become standard practice for many corporate and government bodies to stipulate that a **Performance Bond**, sealed by a reputable **surety**, should be provided as security against default under any contract they award. So a **contractor** tendering for a local authority building contract might be required to provide a **bond** to a value of 10% - 15% of the contract price.

If the contractor then fails...bond can be called upon to provide the funds for reimbursement.

Thus in this particular type of bond, it is not insolvency that is the major trigger, but fraud and dishonesty. All acting...

... broker, therefore, the most important thing is probably to develop a sufficient understanding of the **types** of **bond** most commonly required so as to be able to determine whether any of your existing...

... of British Insurers has produced a leaflet which is designed to explain the need for **Performance** Bonds as well as the benefits to both the **contractor** and employer. They will also be able to provide full details of the insurers represented on the **Surety Bond** Panel.

The ABI can be contacted at 51 Gresham Street, London EC2V 7HQ; telephone 0171...

5/7,K/5 (Item 5 from file: 15)
DIALOG(R)File 15:ABI/Inform(R)
(c) 2004 ProQuest Info&Learning. All rts. reserv.

00744569 93-93790

USE FORMAT 9 FOR FULL TEXT
A directory of markets for contract surety bonds

ABSTRACT: A directory of markets for contract surety bonds is offered to help obtain bonds for contractor clients. It contains listings, presented in alphabetical order, from surety companies and intermediaries that responded to a recent survey. The listings identify the types of contractors and subcontractors each market will bond. They also identify the types of bonds each market writes, the main ones being: 1. bid bonds, 2. performance bonds, 3. payment bonds, and 4. maintenance bonds. The listings identify those markets that participate in the US Small Business Administration's Surety Bond Guarantee Program. The listings also indicate a market's willingness to bond startup contractors and subcontractors, and they specify any special requirements a surety market has, any special bond feature it offers, and the states in which it does business.

Anonymous

American Agent & Broker v65n7 PP: 34-41 Jul 1993 ISSN: 0002-7200

JRNL CODE: AGB

DOC TYPE: Journal article LANGUAGE: English LENGTH: 6 Pages

WORD COUNT: 2964

ABSTRACT: A directory of markets for contract surety bonds is offered to help obtain bonds for contractor clients. It contains listings, presented in alphabetical order, from surety companies and intermediaries that responded to a recent survey. The listings identify the types of contractors and subcontractors each market will bond. They also identify the types of bonds each market writes, the main ones being: 1. bid bonds, 2. performance bonds, 3. payment bonds, and 4. maintenance bonds. The listings identify those markets that participate in the US Small Business Administration's Surety Bond Guarantee Program. The listings also indicate a market's willingness to bond

startup contractors and subcontractors, and they specify any special requirements a **surety** market has, any special **bond** feature it offers, and the states in which it does business.
...TEXT: a recent survey.

The listings identify the types of contractors and subcontractors each market will **bond**. They also identify the **types** of bonds each market writes, the main ones being:

--Bid bonds, which guarantee that a...mechanical, electrical.

SPECIAL REQUIREMENTS: Qualified to perform the work and finance the contract needing a **bond**.

TYPES OF BONDS OFFERED: Bid, performance, payment. SBA-guaranteed bonds available.

SPECIAL FEATURES: Must be limited...